AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicant(s): GLEN ABAD, ET AL.					Docket No. P210	
Application No. 10/660,932	Filing Date 09/12/2003	Examiner MINH-CHAU T. PH		lo.	Group Art Unit 1724	Confirmation No. 7038
Invention: METHOD OF MONITORING A FILTER SYSTEM FOR A PAINT SPRAY BOOTH						
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted therewith is an amendment in the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.						
CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST # PREV. PAID FOR	NUMBER EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	6 -	20 =	0	x	\$18.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	1 -	3 =	0	x	\$86.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) \$0.00						
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENI					OMENT	\$0.00
 No additional fee is required for amendment. □ Please charge Deposit Account No. in the amount of □ A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed. □ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account □ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16. □ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17. □ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. 						
LOUIS L. DACHS Dated: Louis L. Dachs Dated: Louis						
REG. NO. 26,858 ATTORNEY FO 1794 PALISADE PACIFIC PALIS (310) 230-8872	the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on (Date)					

CC:

P11LARGE/REV08

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence
LOUIS L. DACHS

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

REMARKS

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for taking the time to receive the phone call from Applicants' attorney. Applicants herewith reiterate the arguments presented in that telephone conversation.

The Examiner again rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) citing US Patent No. 5,505,763 System And Method For Controlling Air Flow Through A Powder Coating Booth by M. A. Reighard, et al. or US Patent No. 5,554,416 Automated Air Filtration And Drying System For Waterborne Paint And Industrial Coatings by F. G. Scheufler, et al. Applicants respectfully disagree.

First of all, Scheufler, et al. does not disclose the concept of determining the initial pressure drop across the filter. At col. 6, lines 64 and 65, Scheufler, et al. only talks about pressure sensing mounting. Furthermore, in col. 7, lines 7-14, Scheufler, et al. only discusses the concept of increasing airflow pressure upon filter load increasing so that a constant airflow is maintained within the coating chamber as the filter becomes clogged. In fact nowhere in the specification does Scheufler, et al. discuss the concept of:

"—determining the initial pressure drop across a filter prior to use of the spray booth;

determining the maximum allowable pressure drop for the filter prior to the requirement that spraying activities must be terminated by adding the initial pressure drop of the filter to the maximum allowable increase in pressure drop across the filter before the of spraying activities must be terminated —:"